Available online at www.sciencedirect.com
ScienceDirect
Nuclear Physics A 00 (2014) 1–4
Nuclear Physics A

arXiv:1407.7306v1 [hep-ph] 28 Jul 2014

Initial-State Bremsstrahlung versus Final-State Hydrodynamic Sources of Azimuthal Harmonics in $p + A$ at RHIC and LHC

M. Gyulassy[a,b], P. Levai[a], I. Vitev[c], T. S. Biró[a]

[a]*MTA WIGNER Research Centre for Physics, RMI, Budapest, Hungary*
[b]*Department of Physics, Columbia University, New York, 10027, USA*
[c]*Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA*

Abstract

Recent $p_T < 2$ GeV azimuthal correlation data from the Beam Energy Scan (BES) and D+Au runs at RHIC/BNL and, especially, the surprising similarity of azimuthal $v_n\{2m\}(p_T)$ "transeverse flow" harmonics in $p + Pb$ and $Pb + Pb$ at LHC have challenged the uniqueness of local equilibrium "perfect fluid" interpretations of those data. We report results derived in [1] on azimuthal harmonics associated with initial-state non-abelian "wave interference" effects predicted by perturbative QCD gluon bremsstrahlung and sourced by Color Scintillation Arrays (CSA) of color antennas. CSA are naturally identified with multiple projectile and target *beam jets* produced in inelastic $p + A$ reactions. We find a remarkable similarity between azimuthal harmonics sourced by initial state CSA and those predicted with final state perfect fluid models of high energy $p+A$ reactions. The question of which mechanism dominates in $p + A$ and $A + A$ remains open at this time.

Keywords: Initial-State QCD Radiation, Final-State Viscous Hydrodynamics, High Energy Nuclear Collisions

1. Introduction

An unexpected discovery at RHIC/BNL in $D + Au$ reactions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ AGeV [2] and at LHC/CERN in $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ ATeV $p + Pb$ reactions [3] is the large magnitude of mid-rapidity azimuthal anisotropy moments, $v_n 2(k_T, \eta = 0)$, that are remarkably similar to those observed previously in non-central $Au + Au$ [4]and in $Pb + Pb$ [5] reactions. See especially the preliminary ATLAS results in Fig. 24 of ref. [7] and the QM14 ATLAS talk by J. Jia in these proceedings [8]. In addition, the Beam Energy Scan (BES) at RHIC [9] revealed a near $\sqrt{s}$ independence from 8 AGeV to 2.76 ATeV of $v_n(p_T; s)$ in $A + A$ at fixed centrality that was also unexpected.

In high energy $A + A$, the systematics of $v_n\{2\ell\}(k_T, \eta = 0)$ data have been interpreted as possible evidence for the near "perfect fluidity" of the strongly-coupled Quark Gluon Plasmas (sQGP) produced in such reactions [10, 11]. However, the recent observation of similar v_n arising from much smaller transverse size $p(D) + A$ systems and also the near beam energy independence of the moments observed in the Beam Energy Scan (BES) [9] from 7.7 AGeV to 2.76 ATeV in $A+A$ have posed a problem for the perfect fluid interpretation because near inviscid hydrodynamics is not expected to apply in space-time regions where the local temperature field falls below the confinement temperature, $T(x,t) < T_c \sim 160$ MeV. In that Hadron Resonance Gas (HRG) "corona" region, the viscosity to entropy ratio is predicted to grow rapidly with decreasing temperature [12] and the corona volume fraction must increase and the volume of the perfect fluid "core" with $T > T_c$ must decrease when either the projectile atomic number A decreases or the center-of-mass (CM) energy $\sqrt{s}$ decreases .

While hydrodynamic equations have been shown to be *sufficient* to describe $p(D) + A$ data with particular assumptions about initial and freeze-out boundary conditions [13], its *necessity* as a unique interpretation of the data is not

guaranteed. This point was underlined recently using a specific initial-state saturation model [14] that was shown to be able to fit $p(D) + A$ correlation v_{2n} even moments data without final-state interactions. That saturation model has also been used in [15] to specify initial conditions for perfect fluid hydrodynamics in $A + A$. However, in $p + A$ the transverse spatial structure of initial conditions is not as well-controlled because the gluon saturation scale scale, Q_s, is small and its fluctutations over the transverse plane on sub-nucleon scales are more model dependent than in $A + A$.

The near independence of v_n moments on beam energy observed in the BES [9] at RHIC from 7.7 AGeV to 2.76 ATeV pose another serious challenges to the uniqueness of the perfect fluid interpretations of the data because previous hybrid fluid-HRG modeling [16] predicted a natural systematic reduction of the moments due to the increasing HRG corona fraction with decreasing beam energy. The HRG corona fraction is expected to dilute flow signatures from the perfect fluid QGP core flow at lower energies. The BES [9] data also a pose a challenge to color glass condensate (CGC) gluon saturation models [17] used to specify initial conditions for hydrodynamic flow predictions in $A + A$. This is because Q_s^2 is predicted to decrease with $\log(s)$, and, thus, gluon saturation-dominated "central rapidity region" gluon fusion dynamics must switch over into valence quark-diquark dominated "fragmentation region" inelastic dynamics involving fragmentation of multiple quark-diquark beam jets.

In our GLVB paper [1] we explored the possibility that initial-state gluon bremsstrahlung, sourced by Color Scintillating Arrays (CSA) of colored beam jet antennae, could partially account for the above puzzling systematics of azimuthal harmonics. Non-abelian bremsstrahlung is intrinsically azimuthally anisotropic. The pQCD-based GLVB model extends the first order in opacity $\chi = 1$ Gunion-Bertsch [18] (GB) perturbative QCD bremsstrahlung to all orders in opacity, $e^{-\chi}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\chi^n/n!\cdots$ [19], Vitev-Gunion-Bertsch (VGB) [20] multiple interaction pQCD bremsstrahlung for applications to $B + A$ nuclear collisions. We show that VGB bremsstrahlung naturally leads on an event-by-event basis to a hierarchy of non trivial azimuthal asymmetry moments remarkably similar to that observed in $p + A$ and peripheral $A + A$ at fixed $dN/d\eta$ [6]

2. Results

The non-abelian bremsstrahlung Gunion-Bertsch (GB) formula [18, 20] for the soft gluon radiation single inclusive distribution for a triggered beam jet recoil momentum $\mathbf{q} = (q, \psi)$ is

$$\frac{dN_g^1}{d\eta d^2\mathbf{k} d^2\mathbf{q}} = \frac{C_R\alpha_s}{\pi^2}\frac{\mu^2}{\pi(q^2+\mu^2)^2}\frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{\mathbf{k}^2(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})^2} \ . \tag{1}$$

Here, the parton scattering elastic cross section is assumed to be $d\sigma_0/d^2\mathbf{q} = \sigma_0\mu^2/\pi(q^2+\mu^2)^2$. The produced gluon has rapidity η and transverse momentum $\mathbf{k} = (k, \phi)$. Note especially that the azimuthally asymmetric angular dependence has the simple form, $dN_g = F_{kq}/(A_{kq} - \cos(\phi - \psi))$ of the radiated ϕ relative to the reaction plane ψ angles arising from basic non-abelian interference effects. Note also the uniform rapidity-even, $\eta \approx \log(xE/k)$, distribution of non-abelian bremsstrahlung. In $p + A$ multiple target beam jets generally transform that uniform η dependence into a trapezoidal one, as discussed in [1]. The GB azimuthal harmonics can then be analytically evaluated from

$$v_n^{GB}(k, q, \psi) = \int\frac{d\phi}{2\pi}\cos(n\phi)\,\frac{(A_{kq}^2-1)^{1/2}}{A_{kq}-\cos(\phi-\psi)} = \cos[n\psi]\ (\ v_1^{GB}(k,q)\ )^n \ , \tag{2}$$

$$v_1^{GB}(k, q) = (A_{kq} - \sqrt{A_{kq}^2 - 1}) \ , \tag{3}$$

where (see [1]) $A_{kq} = (k^2 + q^2 + \mu^2)/(2k\,q) \geq 1$ implies that all harmonics are peaked near $k \sim q$, vanish at $k = 0$, and slowly decrease toward zero for $k \gg q$. In addition, the analytic single color antenna GB gluon harmonics obey an approximate power law scaling with respect to the harmonic n number:

$$[v_n^{GB}(k, q, 0)]^{1/n} = [v_m^{GB}(k, q, 0)]^{1/m} \ , \tag{4}$$

that is similar to the scaling observed by ALICE, CMS and ATLAS [5] at LHC and similar to perfect fluid harmonic scaling for the higher $n \geq 3$ moments dominated by purely geometric fluctuations. We note also that unlike the low order CGC azimuthal harmonics, the GB bremsstrahlung harmonics are non-vanishing and scale for all odd as



Figure 1. (Color online) (a) [left] Approximate $1/n$ power scaling of q averaged $\langle v_n^{GB}(k,q,0)\rangle^{1/n}$ for a fixed reaction plane $\psi = 0$ from a single GB color antenna for all even and odd moments is seen in the kinematic region $k^2 < \langle q^2\rangle \equiv M\mu^2$. This scaling breaks down for for $k > \sqrt{M}\mu$ because in the $\mu = 0$ limit bremsstrahlung stricly vanish for $k > q$. (b) [right] Schematic diagram corresponding to coherent bremsstrahlung from the projectile beam jet at impact parameter $\mathbf{b}$. The azimuthal distribution is enhanced for transverse momenta $\mathbf{k}$ near the total accumulated momentum transfer to the projectile $\mathbf{Q}_0 \equiv \sum_a \mathbf{Q}_a$ from the $a = 1, \cdots, M$ clusters of recoiling target beam jets. In addition, the bremsstrahlung is enhanced near $\mathbf{k} = -\mathbf{Q}_a$ from each incoherent recoiling target cluster separated by $\Delta R_{ab} \sim 1/k$ in the transverse plane.

well as even moments n. We illustrate in Fig. 1a the main features of azimuthal harmonics from a single beam jet bremsstrahlung.

In a given $p+A$ event a projectile nucleon penetrates through a target nucleus A at impact parameter $\mathbf{b}$, producing one projectile beam jet with rapidity $Y_P > 0$. There are $N \sim A^{1/3} < A$ target beam jets with $Y_T < 0$ produced with transverse coordinates $\mathbf{R}_i$ distributed within a distance $\sim 1/\mu$ from the impact parameter. The N target dipoles naturally cluster near the projectile impact parameter $\mathbf{b}$ as illustrated in Fig. (1b). In a specific event, there are however only $1 \le M \le N$ overlapping clusters that can radiate coherently toward the negative rapidity $\eta < 0$ hemisphere (see [1]). Incoherence of target clusters bremsstrahlung is controlled by the transverse resolution scale with $|\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_j| > 1/k$.

Let $\mathbf{Q}_a = \sum_{i\in I_a} \mathbf{q}_i$ denote the cumulative momentum transfer to the projectile from target cluster a. The total single inclusive bremsstrahlung distribution into a particular mode ($\mathbf{k}_1$) has the form

$$dN^{M,N} = dN_P^N(\eta, \mathbf{k}_1; \mathbf{Q}_P) + dN_T^{M,N}(\eta, \mathbf{k}_1; \{\mathbf{Q}_a\}) = \sum_{a=0}^{M} \frac{B_{k_1 Q_a}}{(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{Q}_a)^2 + \mu_a^2} \quad , \tag{5}$$

where we define $\mathbf{Q}_0 = -\sum_a \mathbf{Q}_a$ to include the projectile beam jet contribution into the summation over target clusters. Note that for a semi exclusive event with all M target recoil momenta Q_a and their azimuthal orientation ψ_a determined, the bremsstrahlung radiation is peaked near the $M+1$ cumulative momenta. However, averaging over all reaction planes forces all single particle $v_n 1$ to vanish on the average. Only 2 or higher particle correlation can reveal the intrinsic azimuthal anisotropy correlations above. Fortunately, in this CSA bremsstrahlung model all 2ℓ relative azimuthal harmonics can also be evaluated analytically (see [1]).

In the "mean recoil" approximation $Q \approx \bar{Q}$, we find that a single GB antenna satisfies the generalized power scaling law in case that subsets of the 2ℓ gluons have identical momenta. Suppose there are $1 \le L \le 2\ell$ distinct momenta K_r with $r = 1, \cdots, L$ such m_r of the 2ℓ gluons have momenta equal to a particular value K_r such that $\sum_{r=1}^{L} m_r = 2\ell$. In this case $v_n^{M=1}\{2\ell\}(k_1, \cdots, k_{2\ell}; \bar{Q}) \approx \prod_{r=1}^{L}(v_n^{GB}(K_r, \bar{Q}))^{m_r} = \prod_{r=1}^{L}(v_1^{GB}(K_r, \bar{Q}))^{nm_r}$. The approximate factorization and power scaling of azimuthal harmonics from CSA coherent state non-abelian bremsstrahlung is similar to "perfect fluid hydrodynamic collective flow" factorization and scaling, but in this case no assumption about local equilibration or minimal viscosity is necessary.

3. Conclusions

In this talk we summarized from Ref. [1] some of the remarkable azimuthal correlation properties of beam jet non-abelian bremsstrahlung even at the lowest order of perturbative QCD level using the VGB generalization [20] of GB [18] bremsstrahlung to all orders in opacity in $p + A$. Of course, higher order and especially high gluon occupation number effects [17] could modify the intricate initial-state chromo wave interference patterns. However, the main lesson from this study is that in $p + A$ initial-state wave interference phenomena may well dominate over any final-state dynamics but appear as if "perfect fluid" or "conformal holographic" descriptions[21] were applicable on sub nucleon transverse scales. Our analysis shows that long range in η multi-gluon, $1/n$ power law scaling, azimuthal multipole cumulant signatures are not unique to final-state perfect fluid and dual AdS shock wave flows but can arise also naturally from perturbative QCD features of initial state bremsstrahlung from Color Scintillating Arrays of multiple beam jets. A possible way to help discriminate between initial-state interference harmonics and final-state flow harmonics may be through the study of rapidity dependence of multi-gluon azimuthal harmonics as discussed in [1].

4. Acknowledgements

MG acknowledges support from the US-DOE DE-FG02-93ER40764, DE-AC02-05CH1123. PL, TB, and MG acknowledge support from Hungarian OTKA grants K104260, NK106119, and NIH TET_12_CN-1-2012-0016. IV was supported in part by the US Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics.

References

[1] M. Gyulassy, P. Levai, I. Vitev and T. Biro, arXiv:1405.7825 [hep-ph], submitted to PRD.
[2] A. Adare *et al.* [PHENIX]Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 212301 (2013)
[3] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* [CMS], Phys. Lett. B **718**, 795 (2013); B. Abelev *et al.* [ALICE], Phys. Lett. B **719**, 29 (2013); G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS], Phys. Lett. B **725**, 60 (2013).
[4] J. Adams *et al.* [STAR], Nucl. Phys. A **757**, 102 (2005); K. Adcox *et al.* [PHENIX], Nucl. Phys. A **757**, 184 (2005); A. Adare *et al.* [PHENIX], Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 062301 (2010).
[5] K. Aamodt *et al.* [ALICE], Phys. Lett. B **708**, 249 (2012); Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 032301 (2011);
[6] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* [CMS]Eur. Phys. J. C **72**, 2012 (2012); G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS]Phys. Rev. C **86**, 014907 (2012).
[7] ATLAS collab, http://cds.cern.ch/record/1702976, Quark Matter 2014, Darmstadt, Germany, May 18, 2014, ATLAS-CONF-2014-021, fg.24.
[8] J. Jia, ibid, private communication.
[9] L. Adamczyk *et al.* [STAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C **88**, no. 1, 014902 (2013).
[10] P. Romatschke and U. Romatschke, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 172301 (2007); M. Luzum and P. Romatschke, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 262302 (2009); B. H. Alver, C. Gombeaud, M. Luzum and J. -Y. Ollitrault, Phys. Rev. C **82**, 034913 (2010);
[11] U. Heinz and R. Snellings, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **63**, 123 (2013); references therein.
[12] P. Danielewicz and M. Gyulassy, Phys. Rev. D **31**, 53 (1985); T. Hirano and M. Gyulassy, Nucl. Phys. A **769**, 71 (2006).
[13] P. Bozek, Phys. Rev. C **85**, 014911 (2012); P. Bozek and W. Broniowski, Phys. Rev. C **88**, no. 1, 014903 (2013).
[14] K. Dusling and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. D **87** 094034 (2013).
[15] C. Gale, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 012302 (2013).
[16] D. Teaney, J. Lauret and E. V. Shuryak, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 4783 (2001).
[17] D. Kharzeev, E. Levin and L. McLerran, Nucl. Phys. A **748**, 627 (2005); K. Dusling and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 5, 051502 (2013).
[18] J. F. Gunion and G. Bertsch, Phys. Rev. D **25**, 746 (1982).
[19] M. Gyulassy, P. Levai and I. Vitev, Nucl. Phys. B **594**, 371 (2001); Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 5535 (2000).
[20] I. Vitev, Phys. Rev. C **75**, 064906 (2007).
[21] G. Basar and D. Teaney, arXiv:1312.6770 [nucl-th].